II. A Letter of Dr John Wallis, D. D. Professor of Geometry in the University of Oxford, and Fellow of the Royal Society in London; To Dr Hans Sloane, Secretary to the said Royal Society; Relating to that Ishmus, or Neck of Land, which is supposed to have joyned England and France in former Times, where now is the Passage between Dover and Calais.

Oxford, Sept. 20. 1701.

SIR,

IN your late Transactions, No. 271. (for the Month of of July, 1701.) I find you have thought fit to reprint a small Discourse (formerly published, but almost forgotten) with the Title of Chartham News, relating to the Isthmus, or Neck of Land, which is supposed to have joyned England and France (in former times) where now is the narrow pass between Dover and Calais.

The Author of this Discourse, I guess to have been Mr William Somner of Canterbury, a Learned Antiquary; to whom we owe the Saxon Distionary, and Saxon Grammaire, both Printed at Oxford in the year 1659. His Glossarium in Decem Scriptores Historiæ Angliæ, published in the year 1652. And a former Treatise of The Antiquities of Canterbury, published in the year 1640; Whether or no any other Pieces, I am not certain. It, in this my Conjecture, concerning the Author, I have mistaken; I am willing to be Rectified, by any who can assure us of the trace Authors.

000000

This Discourse being Written just before the Author's Death, and not Persected, (as the Publisher tells us, and as doth appear in the Work itself,) was (after his Death) published by another Hand; but, without telling us the Author's Name, or his own, (at least so far as to me appears.) However, though Impersect, it is pity it should be lost.

He is of opinion (with Mr Camden, and other Antiquaries, whom he cites,) That it is highly probable (if not abfolutely certain,) That France and England (or Gaul and Britanny) were anciently joyned by an Isthmus, or Neck of Land; where now is the Narrow Passage between Dover and Calais: Which, many Ages since, (beyond the reach of any History now extant) was by the Seas violently beating upon it on both sides) worn away, or broken through. Whereby, what was once an Isthmus, is now become a Fretum or Narrow Sea.

Mr Camden in his Britannia (in that Chapter where he treats of Kent, or Cantium) gives us many cogent Arguments, or Indications, which (though briefly expressed) if well considered, and taken all together, seem to me a convincing Evidence, that there had once been such a Conjunction; but not for many Ages now past. I forbear to repeat his Arguments, because the Book is well known, (and of good Authority,) where they may be seen.

To which I may add one more (of which Mr Camden takes no notice in this place,) From the Unity of Language between the Ancient Gauls and Britains; and from the great Intercourse between those in Gaul, and the Druides in Britanny; (of which Ancient Writers take notice:) Which is not likely to have been, if there had not been an easie Communication between the one and the other. Which, though it be not a Physical Argument (as are those of Mr Camden,) is a good Moral Inducement, in Confirmation of them.

To those Arguments of Mr Camden, the Author of this Discourse adds another, of which Mr Camden was not aware, (as not being known in his time:) From a parcel of strange and monstrous Teeth and Bones, which (in the month of September 1668.) upon digging a Well in the Parish of Chartham (about three miles Southward from Canterbury) in the Land of Mr John Somner (whom I take to be a Son, or some near Relation, of Mr William Somner) were found at the Depth of seventeen foot under ground. Which Bones and Teeth (from the Figure and Greatness of them. and from the Condition of the Earth wherein they were found.) he judgeth to have been the Remainders of some Hippopotamus, or other large Marine Animal, which (many Ages fince) had perished there; which hath since been covered with this depth of Earth. He gives us the Figure of these Monstrous Teeth; which, he says, were in a manner Petrified, and turned into Stone, (weighing each Tooth fomewhat above half a pound; ) and intended a like Description of the Bones; and a Map of the Country, or Draught of the Level; But Death prevented it.

This Chartham he observes to lye about the middle of a large rich Valley, for about twenty miles or more in Length, and of a considerable Breadth; having on each side of it, at a considerable Distance, a long Tract of Hills, or High-grounds. Through which Valley, there now runs the River Sture, Stoure, or Esture, for twenty miles or more, by Ashford, Wye, Godmersham, Chilham, Chartham, Canterbury, Fordwich, and so to Sandwich, where it dis-

chargeth itself into the Sea.

This long and large Vale, (from the Situation of the Place, the Nature of the Soil, and the Remains of this Marine Animal, lodged here at so great a Depth under Ground,) he judgeth to have been (in former Ages) an Æstuarium, or Arm of the Sea. Into which, the Sea (being stopped by the Istumus, which then joyned France and England, from the Course which now it takes,) did discharge

charge itself. Which, in process of time, being filled up; (partly by the Earth, Sand, Oase, or other matter brought in by the Sea, and lodged there; partly by the Earth washed down, or falling upon it, from the Hills on both ides;) is reduced to the Stone we now see.

I do not think it necessary to repeat at large what he alledgeth to this purpose, (because so lately printed in your Transactions:) But think it not amis, to enforce his Argument, by considering, what must have been if this Hypothesis be true; and how it agrees with what we see.

We must first consider, That, if such an Isthmus had once been, where now is the Pass between Dover and Calais: the Great Seas, on both sides, must continually beat upon it, with a sierce impetuous Tyde, twice in four and twenty hours. The Northern Sea, between us and Holland (called Oceanus Germanicus) on the Eastern side: And, the Western Sea, between us and France, (called Oceanus Britannicus) on the Western side. Which (in process of time) may well be supposed likely enough to wear away, or

break through a narrow Isthmus.

The Western Tyde coming in siercely between us and France, fretting on the Coast on both sides, must needs be fupposed to bring with it a great deal of Earth, Sand, or But, being stopped in its Current by this Isthmus, Mud. did not deposit it (as might be thought) on the side of it, (which might strengthen it,) but found an opportunity of discharging itself on the spacious Level of Romney-Marsh; (which, as Camden tells us) is Fourteen Miles in Length, and Eight in Breadth; ) fretting that Isthmus as it comes along: and then (at standing Water, about the Tydes recess,) letting it fall on that Level, and lodging it there: But then again, fretting that Isthmus, and the Coast all along, as the Tyde returns, with a like force as it came in. Which gives us a fair account, both how that Ishmus might be washed away; and how that Level might be raised to that height it now is. For no man can doubt (who doth well know the Situation of the Place, and the Nature of the Soil) but that

that all that Level had heretofore been Sea. And, even at this day, it lies so much lower than the Surface of the Sea at High-Water, that it would (much of it) be overflowed every Tyde, if not defended (at a vast charge) by Dim-church Wall, for many miles together.

Whether it had a like opportunity of such an In-draught (and in what proportion) on the French Coast, I cannot tell. But, that this is the condition of Romney-Marsh, no

man doubts.

The Northern Sea, (between us and Holland,) must, in like manner, have beat on the East-side of that Isthmus, with a like Impetuous Tyde, twice in four and twenty hours. But, being there stopped in its course, would have the like opportunity of discharging itself on the Coast of Holland, (as the Western Sea on Romney-Marsh.) Whence it is that Holland and Zealand, which (by the consent of all) is judged to have been once Sea, is now raised, thirty or forty foot higher than it had once been.

And the same Northern Sea, which (on this account) hath so large an In-let (Eastward) on the Coast of Holland; would (Westward) infinuate itself likewise on the English Coast, where-ever it might find low grounds. Which is the case of this large Valley, where now runs the River Sture, Stoure, or Esture; (which name it is supposed to have taken from the Corruption of Æstuarium:) for more than twenty miles; (and nothing appears why we should think it had not so done; ) entering at the low grounds near Sandwich (close by that Isthmus) and running up that Level (by Canterbury, Chartham, Chilham, and so forth) as far as Ash ford or further. Which Valley had once been much Deeper than now it is. For, it seems, that even at Chartham (which is now twelve miles from the Sea ) the Ground is raised at least seventeen foot; and the Soil, at that depth, found to be of a like condition, as where the Sea is known to have been; And, nearer to the Sea, it may well be presumed to have been yet Deeper, Which

Which is confirmed (as this Author tells us) by the Reliques of this Marine Animal there found; and by Anchors, and Shells of Fishes, found elsewhere in the Borders

of this Valley, at a great depth under ground.

Now, that the Sea may thus raise the ground on such In draughts, by Sand, Earth and Mud, brought in and lodged there at every Tyde, is not at all unlikely: For we see the same at this day. Particularly; in the Isle of Oxney (near adjoyning to Romney-Marsh) there was a low Level, oft in danger of being overflowed by the River Ro-But, somewhat more than threescore years ago, the Sea being let in, hath raised that Level very considerably; by bringing in, and lodging there, a confiderable deal of Earth and Mud, every Tyde. But, withal, it hath fo fretted the Channel by which it enters and goes out again, that the Chanel by Rye, which (within my Memory) was so shallow near (what was called) Kent-bridge, that Men and Women were wont to ride through it: But now (by the Tydes entering and returning) that Bridge is long fince Iwallowed up; and the Chanel become so broad and deep, that a Vessel of good burden might ride there at Anchor. A fit resemblance of the Seas fretting this Isthmus, and filling up the Æstuaries on both sides of it.

The like, in good measure, is to be seen at (what they call) the Dogger Sands, which is a Bank of Sands, lying (obliquely) from about the Coast of Norfolk (if I do not mistake) toward the Coast of Zealand, or North-part of Holland. Which is the place where the Northern and Western Tydes since the Rupture of the Isthmus) do now mucet, and do there (at still-water, for about an Hour, or at the turning of the Tyde) deposite the Mud and Sand, which (by their rapid motion) is both ways brought thither. Which is supposed to be the true cause of that Sandy Bank. Whether this, in tract of time, may there form a new Isthmus, (if the World last long enough) I cannot say. But I amapt to think that the former Isthmus, if the Tydes had stopped there, and had

had not found those In-draughts, on which to lodge what it washed from thence) might have continued, and been more strengthen'd, by what (upon the return of the Tyde)

would daily be lodged there.

And upon this account (I think) it is, that the Isthmus at Corinth, though beat upon by the two Seas (which give it the name of Bimaris Corinthus) is not thereby destroyed: because there are not such Tydes to wash it away; nor such In-draughts, on which to lodge what should be washed from thence.

But the case is much otherwise with this Isthmus of ours. Where are all things to countenance this Hypothesis. The steep Clifts at Dover, and those at Calais, answering directly the one to the other; and appearing to view, as if, that between them, had been violently torn away. And the Sea between them (even at this day) being much shallower at that place than on either side of it (as Camden doth well observe,) which are strong Presumptions, that there had been formerly such a conjunction.

The greatest doubt in this case is, that there is no History extant (that I know) which takes notice of such an Isthmus, or such a Rupture, in this place, which being a thing remarkable, might have been thought worthy to be reported.

Which yet need not be thought very strange, considering that we have no particular account of the British Coast (which might determine this Question) older than the Romans access hither with Julius Casar: Whereas this might have happened many hundreds of years before that time, when though the Island might be known, yet not the particular Coastings of it to the Greeks or Latins.

But I have this further to say. Plato tells us a frory (as of a thing which had happened some Ages before his time, and which at that time was in a manner generally forgotten) of an Island some where in the Atlantick Ocean, which by a Deluge and Earthquake (in the space of a night and day) was destroyed and swallowed up by the Sea; whereby that Sea (some re-

Iy Navigable) was for some time become unnavigable or unsafe, by reason of the Mud and Reliques of that absorpted Island. The words of Plato (as translated in Henry Stephen's Edition, pag. 25.) runs thus; Post autem, quum diluviarum & terrae motuum intemperies extitisset, Unius noctis & diei spatio, omne illud bellicosorum hominum genus in terram absorptum suit, illiaq; etiam Atlantica Insula (Arravis moos) Maris sluctibus, plane obvoluta disparuit, unde & illud mare trajectu dissicile est, quum lutum adhuc copio sum Insulae istius remanserit.

Which feems to me very applicable to the Rupture of this Isthmus: Whereby this Island was not indeed wholly destroyed; but was broken off from the Continent, to which it was before united. And, upon such an accident, the Sea must needs be disturbed, and put out of its course, and rendred unsafe for passage, before it came again to be settled. For, though the first Breach might be made in the space of one Night and Day, we cannot suppose the whole Bulk of it, when once broken, was presently carried smooth away; but first the top or upperpart of it (in a Day and Nights time,) and afterwards the lower parts of it by degrees. Which would render that Sea, if not quite unpassable, at least troublesom and unsafe.

And if in some circumstance, this Narration chance to differ from the matter of Fact, as calling the Rupture of this Isthmus, the Subversion of an Island, this must be allowed in the Narrative of an old Tradition from hand to hand. For

as such it is there brought in.

For Plato doth there introduce Critias (then an Ancient man) telling a Story, which (when a Boy ten years old) he had heard from his Grandfather (who was ninety years of age) of what Solon (long fince dead) had told him; namely, than an Ægyptian Priest had (long before) told Solon, that it did appear from some old Ægyptian Records (of which the Greeks had no knowledge) that such a thing had

had happened, in an Age so long before, as in comparison, of which the Greeks were but as Children. And all this Tradition (through so many hands, and at such great intervals of time) is, at every step, reported from the Relators present memory. And 'tis very possible, that some one or other of these Relators might so far mistake, or mistremember, as to call that a Dissolution or Disappearance of an Island (inquient,) which was but a Tearing of it from the Continent.

It serves however to the present purpose, if at least so much of the Story be true, That long before Plato's time, there had been some such Dissolution or Rupture of an Isle or Isthmus, somewhere in the Atlantick Ocean, (that is, in the Northern Sea) of which there were some symptoms yet remaining in Plato's time. For, this being admitted, it is as applicable to the present case (as to any we know) of which there are so many Symptoms yet remaining to this day.

I know that Olaus Rudbeck, in his Atlantica (Cap. 7. § 8. pag. 293.) doth endeavour (in favour of his Sueonia) to

put an Allegorical sense upon this whole passage.

But I see not why it may not be understood in a plain literal sense, as a true matter of Fact, (though perhaps a little disguised, as was wont to be the fashion (in that Age in relating old stories:) and is very consistent with all that Rudbeck cites ont of Plato, in that whole seventh Chapter of his Atlantica.

For the name of the Atlantick Sea (wherein this Island is said to be) was not then (nor is now) confined to the Coast of oweden, but extended as far as the British Island, and much farther. And when Rudbeck tells us out of Plato, that the whole Atlantica was as big as Libia and Asia (which whether meant of either of them singly as Rudbeck understands it, or of both together, as the words seem to import, "manusias y'Asias millar, I will not contend;) we cannot suppose it to be Plato's meaning, that this whole Region was swallowed up; but rather some small part of it, P pp pp p

from whence perhaps the whole might take its denomination. And though he tells us (from another Writer) that it was five days Voyage from the British Island, to (that part of) his Atlantica, where for thirty days together the Sun doth not set; this hinders not but that the British Islands may be part of the Atlantick Region, though so far distant from the utmost Northern Cape of it.

This I thought fit to add, in conformity with that of Mr Camden in his Britannia, and that of this Author, in his Chartham-News. And the rather, because this Author seems very desirous, that others would contribute what

they know, or have observed, relating thereunto.

I know not, whether I may not yet venture upon one step further. This Author tells us, that this Æstuary (from Sandwich to Ashford) might perhaps flow so much further, as to meet with that Æstuary on Romney Marsh, and (both being conjoyned) become one Level.

There is, I think about 3 or 4 miles distance, between Ashford and the nearest part of Romney-Marsh. How the intermediate Lands be qualified, I do not well remem-

ber.

But, if this be admitted, that the two Æstuaries (that of Stoure and that of Romney-Marsh) in former times may thus have met: This opens a new Scheme, of which before we were not aware. For then we must say, that the two Tydes (that from the North and that from the West) which now meet at the Dogger Sands, did then meet at the confluence of these two Æstuaries. And then (as was but now said of the Dogger Sands) bringing (on both sides) Earth, Mud and Sand to this place, and lodging it there; might first form an Istuaries there, and (by degrees) fill up those Æstuaries on both sides. Mean while, washing away that Istuaries between Dover and Calais, and opening a new passage as now it is.

There be many other Æstuaries in England, where the Sea now enters a great way into the Land; and, how far

it might have entered further in former times, who can As that Sea by Bristol between Wales and Cornwall: That of the Humber between York shire and Lincolnshire: And we may reasonably think, that the Washes and the Fennes in Lincolnshire, may have heretofore been Sea, or overflowed by the Sea at High Tydes: And that of the Thames (between Kent and Effex;) which now flows (above London and Brentford) within a mile of King stow (at Spring-Tydes;) it may perhaps feem too daring to think it may formerly have flowed as far as Oxford (between Shot-over Hill and Foxcomb Hill) and so onward toward Wallingford (in the Romans time called Galena;) but there is this to countenance it, that (if I be not much mis-informed) there be frequently found (in our Stone-Quarries and Gravel-pits) about Oxford, Fish Shells, and even the Bodies of Fish Petrified, at great depths under ground; of which Mr Lloyd (who keeps our Repository at Oxford) may give (I suppose) a better account than I can. there have been (no doubt) and now are (in England) many other Æstuaries, Creeks or Arms of the Sea (entering a great way within Land,) some whereof may be (in a manner) filled up, and become firm Land; others much narrower, shallower and shorter, than in former times they For it is the nature of Æstuaries, where the Tydes flow in, to leave behind them, at their Return, much of Mud, Oase or Sleech (as they call it,) which doth in time come to be firm Land.

But of these I forbear to discourse further, (leaving it to the Sagacity of other inquisitive persons, who may be better acquainted with those parts of the Country, than I do pretend to be:) That of the Isthmus between Dover and Calais, being what I principally intended.

## A POST-SCRIPT.

Ince mine to you of Sept. 20. (about Chartham News) I have been informed from Canterbury, That Mr William Somner (as I conjectured) was the Author of that Treatife; that (after his death) it was published by his Elder Brother Mr John Somner, in whose Lands the Bones and Teeth were found; that figures of all the Bones (as well as of the Teeth) were then drawn (tho not Printed) and remain now with Mr Gray, an Alderman of Canterbury; that those Bones and Teeth then found, were presented to the Royal Society, and are remaining in their Repository at Gresham Colledge.

To what I then wrote, you may add this which follows. At Hythe in Kent (which is one of the Cing Ports) there was (in our Fathers time) a Convenient Harbour for small Vessels: which is now swarved up, several attempts have been made to recover the Harbour, but with small success. For when (with great Labour and Charge) they have (in fome measure) opened it, it hath soon been filled up again. by what the Sea casts up. And whoever considers the vast quantity of (what they call) Beach (that is, a vast multirude of small loose Stones and Fish-shells, cast up by the Sea at Hythe, Lyd, and elsewhere on the Coast of Romney-Marsh, (for divers miles in Length and Breadth, and to a great depth,) will not think it strange, that a Creek or Æstuary should come in time to be filled up and become firm Land. And in many places of this Beachy ground, where (within the memory of persons now living) nothing was to be feen but fuch loofe Stones and Shells (to a great depth, ) it comes (by degrees) to be covered with Earth, and becomes Pasture Ground.

On the contrary, that what was formerly Firm-laud, may be so destroyed or washed away, as to become Sea, is evident from (what they call) the Goodwin-Sands, on the Coast

Coast of Kent which is said to have been the Lands of Earl Goodwin; but lost by an Inundation about the time that Tenterden Steeple was built, (which gave occasion to that Ironical Proverb of things contemporary, that Tenterden Steeple was the canse of Goodwin Sands.) The occasion of such different effects, depending on the different situation of the Shores and the setting of the Tydes; so as to wash off from one place what it lodgeth on other.

And many such alterations (no doubt) have been of the Face of the Earth, all the World over, of which we have no particular Histories. For the World was of a great Age, before the Writing of any Histories (except the Bible) now

extant.

And who knows, but that (in former Ages) even amidst the Alps, there may have been large Lakes, which, in process of time, (by Earthquakes or other Accidents,) may have been drained of their Water, and become fruitful Vallies: of which it is said divers symptoms have been discovered, even amidst the Alps, in later Ages.

And something of the like nature hath happened within some few years last past, in *Jamaica*, in *Sicily*, and other places: Of which it were not amis, (if it be not done already) that particular Narratives were somewhere inserted.

in your Transactions.

